

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

3	Peter Ho Ka Nam	3669		
		2668	5553	
15/2005		EXAM	INER	
A. Burgess Lowe			BALSIS, SHAY L	
101 East Maple Street North Canton, OH 44720			PAPER NUMBER	
,		1744		
1	15/2005	15/2005	BALSIS, ART UNIT	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

y

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/644,181	KA NAM, PETER HO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shay L. Balsis	1744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Au	aust 2003.					
·_ · · .	action is non-final.					
·=	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/20/03</u> .	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 20 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 20 is dependent from claim 8 which would make claim 20 a substantial duplication of claim 10. Additionally, if claim 20 were to depend from claim 8, there would lack of antecedent basis for "the scoop". Examiner believes that Applicant wanted claim 20 to depend from claim 18 and therefore that is how the claim was examined. Please correct the claim dependency in the reply to the office action. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gomez (USPN 2670485).

Gomez teaches a sweeper comprising a front housing (60) and a rotating brush (24) secured to the housing having tangentially extending bristles adapted to engage a surface at an oblique angle (every bristle that touches the surface, except for the bristles directly perpendicular to the surface, engage the surface at an oblique angle). There is a frame (40) secured to a lower portion of the housing. There is a height adjustment column (66) rising from the rear of the frame. There is a dirt receptacle (30) removably located on the frame.

Art Unit: 1744

With regards to claim 2, there is a notch (64) defined in the rear of the dirt receptacle for extending around the column.

With regards to claim 3, there is an adjustable wheel assembly (68) positioned within the column.

With regards to claim 4, there is a rotary knob located on the top of the column (not labeled but shown in figure 2 between reference numbers 62 and 66).

With regards to claim 5, there is a cam (threading on 66), which moves the wheel up and down relative to the frame as the knob is rotated.

With regards to claims 6 and 7, when the wheel is moved downwardly the force of the brush is increased and when the wheel is moved upwardly the force of the brush is decreased.

Claims 1, 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Parker et al. (USPN 2268059).

Parker teaches a sweeper comprising a front housing (12) and a rotating brush (17) secured to the housing having tangentially extending bristles adapted to engage a surface at an oblique angle (every bristle that touches the surface, except for the bristles directly perpendicular to the surface, engage the surface at an oblique angle). There is a frame (28) secured to a lower portion of the housing. There is a height adjustment column (51a) rising from the rear of the frame. There is a dirt receptacle (14) removably located on the frame.

With regards to claim 3, there is an adjustable wheel assembly (51) positioned within the column.

With regards to claim 4, there is a rotary knob located on the top of the column (not labeled but shown in figure 3 as reference number 51a).

Art Unit: 1744

With regards to claim 5, there is a cam (threading on 51a), which moves the wheel up and down relative to the frame as the knob is rotated.

With regards to claims 6 and 7, when the wheel is moved downwardly the force of the brush is increased and when the wheel is moved upwardly the force of the brush is decreased.

With regards to claim 8, there is a latch (34, 33) on the upper portion of the dirt receptacle.

With regards to claim 9, the latch, column and frame cooperate to position the dirt receptacle to the front housing.

Claims 1, 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pätzold et al. (USPN 4502173).

Pätzold teaches a sweeper comprising a front housing (11) and a rotating brush (14) secured to the housing having tangentially extending bristles adapted to engage a surface at an oblique angle (every bristle that touches the surface, except for the bristles directly perpendicular to the surface, engage the surface at an oblique angle). There is a frame (not labeled, see figure 4) secured to a lower portion of the housing. There is a height adjustment column (57) rising from the rear of the frame. There is a dirt receptacle (68) removably located on the frame.

With regards to claim 3, there is an adjustable wheel assembly (17) positioned within the column.

With regards to claim 4, there is a rotary knob located on the top of the column (66).

With regards to claim 5, there is a cam (53), which moves the wheel up and down relative to the frame as the knob is rotated.

Art Unit: 1744

With regards to claims 6 and 7, when the wheel is moved downwardly the force of the brush is increased and when the wheel is moved upwardly the force of the brush is decreased.

With regards to claim 8, there is a latch (not labeled but shown on figure 3) on the upper portion of the dirt receptacle.

With regards to claim 9, the latch, column and frame cooperate to position the dirt receptacle to the front housing.

Claims 1, 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pätzold et al. (USPN 4484371).

Pätzold teaches a sweeper comprising a front housing (11) and a rotating brush (14) secured to the housing having tangentially extending bristles adapted to engage a surface at an oblique angle (every bristle that touches the surface, except for the bristles directly perpendicular to the surface, engage the surface at an oblique angle). There is a frame (not labeled, see figure 5) secured to a lower portion of the housing. There is a height adjustment column (figure 1, round element, not labeled but described in patent number 4502173) rising from the rear of the frame. There is a dirt receptacle (27) removably located on the frame.

With regards to claim 8, there is a latch (35) on the upper portion of the dirt receptacle.

With regards to claim 9, the latch, column and frame cooperate to position the dirt receptacle to the front housing.

With regards to claim 10, the latch moves in a linear path to engage a catch in the housing (40).

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haaga (USPN 5896611).

Art Unit: 1744

Haaga teaches a sweeper comprising a front housing (forward portion of 10) and a first and second rotating brush (15, 16) secured to the housing having tangentially extending bristles adapted to engage a surface at an oblique angle (figure 1 shows the angle of the brush). There is a dirt scoop (20) positioned between the brushes. There is a frame (not labeled, see figure 1) secured to a lower portion of the housing. There is a column (30) rising from the rear of the frame. There is a dirt receptacle (not labeled but shown as 10 on figure 1) removably located on the frame.

Claims 11-12, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haaga (USPN 5184367).

Haaga teaches a sweeper comprising a front housing (1) and a first and second rotating brush (3) secured to the housing having tangentially extending bristles adapted to engage a surface at an oblique angle (figure 1 shows the angle of the brush). There is a dirt scoop (col. 3, lines 20-28) positioned between the brushes. There is a frame (not labeled, see figure 1, best shown near 18) secured to a lower portion of the housing. There is a column (21) rising from the rear of the frame. There is a dirt receptacle (2) removably located on the frame.

With regards to claim 12, there is a notch defined in the rear of dirt receptacle for extending around the column (17).

With regards to claim 18, there is a latch positioned on the upper portion of the dirt receptacle (7).

With regards to claim 19, the latch, column and frame cooperate to position the dirt receptacle to the front housing.

Art Unit: 1744

With regards to claim 20, the latch moves in a linear path to engage a catch located above the scoop (figure 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 11, 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haaga (USPN 5184367) in view of Parker et al. (USPN 2268059).

Haaga teaches all the essential elements of the claimed invention however fails to teach a column with adjustable wheel assembly. Parker teaches a height adjustment column (51a) rising from the rear of the frame (claim 11). There is an adjustable wheel assembly (51) positioned within the column (claim 13). There is a rotary knob located on the top of the column (not labeled but shown in figure 3 as reference number 51a) (claim 14). There is a cam (threading on 51a), which moves the wheel up and down relative to the frame as the knob is rotated (claim 15). Moving the wheel downward increase the force of the first and second brush. Moving the wheel

Art Unit: 1744

upwardly decreases the force of the first and second brush. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Haaga's sweeper with the

ordinary skint in the art at the time the invention was made to modify frauga a sweep or with the

adjustable wheel of Parker since the adjustable wheel would aids in adjusting the amount of

pressure applied to the ground or floor by the brush (page 2, col. 2, lines 6-15). Therefore, the

sweeper could be used to clean both rough surface and smooth surfaces and only the height of

the wheel would need to be altered.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shay L. Balsis whose telephone number is 571-272-1268. The

examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00 M-Th, alternating F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Slb

12/6/05

RICHARD CRISPINO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700